

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/030,868		KORCHEV ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Susan Hanley		1651	

All Participants:

(1) Susan Hanley.

(2) Doran Pace.

Date of Interview: 30 March 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: after final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4:00

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
35

Prior art documents discussed:
none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Ex. Hanley agreed to amend claim 35 as requested by Mr. Pace to increase the clarity of the claim.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)